

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,359	07/18/2003	Leslie Philip Miranda	GRFN-041	5354
24353 75	90 03/24/2006		EXAM	INER
BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP			AUDET, MAURY A	
SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
EAST PALO A	LTO, CA 94303		1654	
			DATE MAILED: 0204000	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A 40 41 A1					
	Application No.	Application No. Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summans	10/622,359	MIRANDA, LESLIE	MIRANDA, LESLIE PHILIP			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Maury Audet	1654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the provided period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this cor ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	18 July 2003					
	This action is non-final.					
,	<u></u>					
closed in accordance with the practice und	·	·				
·	, , ,	,				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-16</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	prrection is required if the drawing	ig(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•		• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National S	Stage			
Attachment(s)) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) ☐ Interview Paper No	/ Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-	-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-15 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.142, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- I. Claims 1-4, drawn to non-sterically hindered and sterically hindered thioester or selenoester generators, classified in class 530, subclass 333.
- II. Claims 5-15, drawn to a methods of making non-sterically hindered and sterically hindered thioester or selenoester generators, classified in class 514, subclass 2+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I-II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the non-sterically hindered and sterically hindered thioester or selenoester generators may be produced by a variety of different compound formulas and/or steps; e.g. distinct formulas using either thio or seleno groups, various deprotection methods of different moieties within the formulas (see Applicant's claimed distinct embodiments to the same, in independent claims 5-15).

Species Election

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: non-sterically hindered and sterically hindered thioester or selenoester generators.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-4 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CRF 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

In re Ochiai/Brouwer Rejoinder

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

During a telephone conversation with Carol Francis on 03/14/06 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-4, as drawn to the elected species of the support-mediated thioester generator of Fig. 2. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 5-15 withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the specification contains peptide sequences of four or greater amino acids in length, which are not in sequence compliance, see e.g. specification pages 53, 56, and 58. Applicant is asked to identify these by their appropriate sequence identifier (SEQ ID NO:) and review the specification for any other pages bearing peptide sequences which are also not in compliance. See below:

Sequence Rules

This application contains sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 CFR 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2). However, this application fails to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.821 through 1.825 for the reason(s) set forth below or on the attached Notice To Comply With Requirements For Patent Applications Containing Nucleotide Sequence And/Or Amino Acid Sequence Disclosures. 37 CFR 1.821(a) presents a definition for "nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences." The instant application contains an unbranched specifically defined sequence of more than ten nucleotides. Nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences as used in 37 CFR 1.821 through 1.825 are interpreted to mean an unbranched sequence of four or more amino acids or an unbranched sequence of ten or more nucleotides. Branched sequences are specifically excluded from this definition. Sequences with fewer than four specifically defined nucleotides or amino acids are specifically excluded from this section. "Specifically defined" means those amino acids other than "Xaa" and those nucleotide bases other than "n" defined in accordance with the World Intellectual

Property Organization (WIPO) Handbook on Industrial Property Information and Documentation, Standard ST.25: Standard for the Presentation of Nucleotide and Amino Acid Sequence Listings in Patent Applications (1998), including Tables 1 through 6 in Appendix 2 (see MPEP § 2422).

Since the present sequence compliance request is being sent along with the Office Action on the merits (in the interests of compact prosecution, and since no sequences are expressly claimed), Applicant is given THREE MONTHS (instead of the normal ONE MONTH, or THIRTY DAYS, whichever is longer), from the mailing date of this letter within which to comply with the sequence rules, 37 CFR 1.821 - 1.825. Failure to comply with these requirements will result in ABANDONMENT of the application under 37 CFR 1.821(g). Extensions of time may be obtained by filing a petition accompanied by the extension fee under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no case may an applicant extend the period for reply beyond the SIX MONTH statutory period. Direct the reply to the undersigned. Applicant is requested to return a copy of the attached Notice to Comply with the reply.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,359 Page 7

Art Unit: 1654

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 01/87920 A2 (Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation).

WO 01/87920 teach the sterically hindered thioester generator compound of Applicant's Fig. 2 (entire document, e.g. Schemes 7-8, Table 1; see also attached structure search to the same reference and structure taught therein), and discuss that methods and reagents of the invention can be used for stepwise synthesis of peptides on solid supports or for the ligation of two or more amino acids, two or more peptides or two or more protein fragments (abstract), wherein the "phosphinothioester may be attached to a solid support material with the reactive end free" and "the phosphinothioester peptide reactant attached to a solid support via its N-terminus can be generated, *for example*, by coupling of the C-terminus of the attached peptide to a phosphinothiol using a coupling reagent" (page 12 bottom to top of page 13, entire document). It was not clearly found whether the support taught for attachment to the thioesters of WO 01/87920 was expressly bound to the support the carbon/ carbon side-chain of the organic backbone joining the N-terminal group and the C-terminal group.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to attach the support moiety of the non-sterically hindered and sterically hindered

Application/Control Number: 10/622,359 Page 8

Art Unit: 1654

thioester or selenoester generators, at the carbon/ carbon side-chain of the organic backbone joining the N-terminal group and the C-terminal group, in WO 01/87920 A2, because WO 01/87920 advantageously teaches that a support may generally be bound to the phosphinothioester reactant, at any location, as long as the reactive end remains free. The reference teaches in the same passage, by example, a common attachment means for such support, but in no way limits the position of attachment. In light of the teachings of the WO 01/87920 A2 and well known stepwise peptide synthesis methods using supports dating back to early Merrifield peptide synthesis techniques, it would have been merely a matter of routine optimization by one of ordinary skill in the peptide synthesis arts, to take these teachings, and attach the described support to the same sterically hindered thioester generator compound of Applicant's Fig. 2, at any position on the compound; as long as the reactive end remained free. Including attaching the support at the carbon/ carbon side-chain of the organic backbone joining the N-terminal group and the C-terminal group.

From the teachings of the references, it is apparent that one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in producing the claimed invention.

Therefore, the invention as a whole was prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, as evidenced by the references, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,359 Page 9

Art Unit: 1654

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maury Audet whose telephone number is 571-272-0960. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. 7AM-5:30PM (10 Hrs.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on 571-272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MA, 03×16/2006

MAURY AUDET

PATENT EXAMNER

ART UNIT 1654

Application No. 10/622,359

NOTICE TO COMPLY WITH REQUIREMENTS FOR PATENT APPLICATIONS CONTAINING NUCLEOTIDE SEQUENCE AND/OR AMINO ACID SEQUENCE DISCLOSURES

The nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosure contained in this application does not comply with the requirements for such a disclosure as set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.821 - 1.825 for the following reason(s):

X	1. This application clearly fails to comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.821-1.825. Ap plicant's attention is directed to these regulations, published at 1114 OG 29, May 15, 1990 and at 55 FR 18230, May 1, 1990.
X	2. This application does not contain, as a separate part of the disclosure on paper copy, a "Sequence Listing" as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(c).
M	3. A copy of the "Sequence Listing" in computer readable form has not been submitted as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e).
	4. A copy of the "Sequence Listing" in computer readable form has been submitted. However, the content of the computer readable form does not comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.822 and/or 1.823, as indicated on the attached copy of the marked -up "Raw Sequence Listing."
	5. The computer readable form that has been filed with this application has been found to be damaged and/or unreadable as indicated on the attached CRF Diskette Problem Report. A Substitute computer readable form must be submitted as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.825(d).
	6. The paper copy of the "Sequence Listing" is not the same as the computer readable from of the "Sequence Listing" as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e).
	7. Other:
Ap	plicant Must Provide:
X	An initial or substitute computer readable form (CRF) copy of the "Sequence Listing".
M	An initial or substitute paper copy of the "Sequence Listing", as well as an amendment directing its entry into the specification.
X	A statement that the content of the paper and computer readable copies are the same and, where applicable, include no new matter, as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e) or 1.821(f) or 1.821(g) or 1.825(b) or 1.825(d).
For	questions regarding compliance to these requirements, please contact:
	Rules Interpretation, call (703) 308-4216
For	CRF Submission Help, call (703) 308-4212
For	Patentin software help, call (703) 308-6856

PLEASE RETURN A COPY OF THIS NOTICE WITH YOUR RESPONSE